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INTRODUCTION: Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD) is an essential small molecule that is involved in a variety of physiological and pathological processes. The oxidized form, NAD\(^+\), serves as a cofactor in metabolic pathways, as well as a substrate for various enzymes that consume it, such as the poly[adenosine diphosphate (ADP)-ribose] polymerases (PARPs) and sirtuins (SIRTs). PARPs and SIRTs cleave NAD\(^+\) into nicotinamide and ADP-ribose, resulting in the irreversible breakdown of NAD\(^+\). Therefore, the resynthesis of NAD\(^+\) is necessary for maintaining normal cellular functions. Increasing evidence has revealed that (i) reduced NAD\(^+\) levels result in altered metabolism and increased disease susceptibility and (ii) restoration of NAD\(^+\) levels can prevent disease progression. Thus, understanding NAD\(^+\) synthesis and catabolism is important for understanding physiological and pathological processes.

RATIONALE: NAD\(^+\) is synthesized by a family of enzymes known as nicotinamide mononucleotide adenyl transferases (NMNATs). In mammalian cells, NMNATs exhibit distinct subcellular localizations (NMNAT-1 in the nucleus, NMNAT-2 in the cytoplasm and Golgi, and NMNAT-3 in the mitochondria), suggesting that NAD\(^+\) biosynthesis is compartmentalized within the cell. Despite the biological importance of NAD\(^+\), the physiological role of compartmentalized NAD\(^+\) biosynthesis in cells is largely unexplored. Given the dual role of NAD\(^+\) as a metabolic cofactor and a substrate for enzymes involved in gene regulation, we hypothesized that compartmentalized synthesis of NAD\(^+\) might connect cellular metabolism and gene regulation.

RESULTS: Here we show that compartment-specific NAD\(^+\) biosynthesis acts as a key mediator of PARP-1-regulated transcription during adipocyte differentiation, integrating cellular metabolism and the adipogenic transcription program. During adipogenesis, nuclear NAD\(^+\) levels drop concomitantly with a rapid induction of NMNAT-2, the cytoplasmic NAD\(^+\) synthase. Increased NMNAT-2 levels limit the availability of nuclear NMN, a common substrate of NMNATs, thereby leading to a precipitous reduction in nuclear NAD\(^+\) synthesis by NMNAT-1. This reduction of nuclear NAD\(^+\) results in decreased PARP-1 catalytic activity, which in turn reduces inhibitory ADP-ribosylation of the adipogenic transcription factor C/EBP\(\beta\). Reduced ADP-ribosylation of C/EBP\(\beta\) allows it to bind its target genes and drive a proadipogenic transcriptional program that promotes the differentiation of preadipocytes into adipocytes.

Experimentally, we found that decreasing nuclear NAD\(^+\) synthesis by NMNAT-1 depletion significantly reduced PARP-1 enzymatic activity and enhanced adipogenesis, whereas NMNAT-2 depletion inhibited the drop in nuclear NAD\(^+\) levels and significantly reduced adipocyte differentiation. Moreover, providing exogenous NMN to preadipocytes in culture “short-circuited” the competition between NMNAT-1 and NMNAT-2 for NMN, leading to increased nuclear NAD\(^+\) synthesis during differentiation. This, in turn, increased PARP-1 activity and inhibited adipocyte differentiation.

Adipogenic signaling pathways and increased glucose metabolism were required for the rapid induction of NMNAT-2, and inhibition of glucose metabolism completely abolished the induction of NMNAT-2 during adipogenesis. Preventing NMNAT-2 induction by glucose deprivation restored PARP-1 activity and inhibited C/EBP\(\beta\)-dependent gene expression. Collectively, these results suggest that NMNAT-1 and NMNAT-2 function as sensors to integrate cellular metabolism and the adipogenic transcription program.

CONCLUSION: We have elucidated a pathway leading from glucose uptake and metabolism, to competition between nuclear and cytoplasmic NMNATs for the NAD\(^+\) biosynthesis precursor NMN, and ultimately to alterations in the activity of PARP-1 and its catalytic target C/EBP\(\beta\), a transcription factor that promotes adipogenic gene expression and initiates the process of adipocyte differentiation. Such mechanisms are also likely to play a key role in other biological systems that exhibit dramatic changes in nuclear PARylation as differentiation proceeds or have a high metabolic load.

---

COMPARTMENTALIZED NAD
\(^+
\)
BIOSYNTHESIS BY NMNATs REGULATES ADIPOGENESIS THROUGH PARP-1

NMNATs synthesize NAD\(^+\) from nicotinamide mononucleotide (NMN) and adenosine triphosphate. Nuclear NMNAT-1 provides NAD\(^+\) for nuclear ADP-ribosylation and gene regulation by PARP-1, whereas cytoplasmic NMNAT-2 provides NAD\(^+\) for cytoplasmic ADP-ribosylation and cellular metabolism. Competition between NMNAT-1 and NMNAT-2 for their common substrate, NMN, promotes compartmentalized regulation of NAD\(^+\) levels, allowing for discrete nuclear and cytoplasmic events. The fluorescent images of NAD\(^+\) in the bottom panel were generated using a NAD\(^+\) sensor localized to the nucleus (left) or the cytoplasm (right).
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NAD⁺ (nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide in its oxidized state) is an essential molecule for a variety of physiological processes. It is synthesized in distinct subcellular compartments by three different synthases (NMNAT-1, -2, and -3). We found that compartmentalized NAD⁺ synthesis by NMNATs integrates glucose metabolism and adipogenic transcription during adipocyte differentiation. Adipogenic signaling rapidly induces cytoplasmic metabolism and transcription (1), providing a direct link between metabolic regulation require substrates or cofactors that are products of intermediate cellular metabolism (2). How cells integrate extracellular signals (e.g., hormones) and cellular metabolism to coordinate transcriptional outcomes, however, is poorly understood. Recent findings suggest that fluctuations in nuclear metabolism levels, perhaps controlled by metabolic enzymes in the nucleus, underlie the coordination of these responses (3).

NAD⁺, the oxidized form of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide, is an essential small-molecule cofactor in metabolic redox reactions, as well as a substrate for NAD⁺-dependent enzymes, such as the poly[adenosine diphosphate (ADP)]-ribose polymerase-1 (PARP-1),NMNAT-1, suggesting that nuclear NAD⁺ might connect cellular metabolism and gene regulation. Unlike metabolic redox reactions, which reversibly oxidize or reduce NAD⁺, PARPs and SIRTs cleave NAD⁺ into nicotinamide and ADP-ribose, resulting in the irreversible consumption of NAD⁺. Thus, the resynthesis of NAD⁺ is crucial for preserving cellular functions. In mammalian cells, NAD⁺ synthesis is achieved by nicotinamide mononucleotide (NMM) and adenosine triphosphate (ATP) by a family of enzymes known as NMN adenyl transferases (NMNATs) (4, 5). NMNATs exhibit distinct and mutually exclusive subcellular localizations: NMNAT-1 localizes to the nucleus, NMNAT-2 to the cytoplasm and Golgi, and NMNAT-3 to the mitochondria (Fig. 1A), suggesting a compartment-specific regulation of NAD⁺ biosynthesis within the cell. Given the dual role of NAD⁺ as a metabolic cofactor and a substrate for enzymes involved in gene regulation, we hypothesized that the compartmentalized synthesis of NAD⁺ might connect cellular metabolism and gene regulation.

**Nuclear NAD⁺ synthesis regulates PARP-1 activity and adipogenesis**

To test this hypothesis, we selected a biological system that requires both dynamic transcriptional regulation and active cellular metabolism, namely, adipogenesis. Adipose tissue is an important regulator of energy balance and glucose homeostasis (8). The formation of functional adipocytes is achieved by the differentiation of preadipocytes into mature adipocytes (i.e., adipogenesis), which is tightly controlled by the sequential expression of key adipogenic transcription factors (9, 10), as well as a diverse range of metabolic pathways (1, 11). Chemical inhibition (with FK866) or depletion (with shRNA or siRNA-mediated knockdown) of nicotinamide phosphoribosyltransferase (NAMPT), a key enzyme in NAD⁺ biosynthesis that produces NNM, has been previously shown to promote adipogenesis in mesenchymal stem cells (12), suggesting a potential role of NAD⁺ biosynthesis in adipocyte differentiation.

To explore the role of compartmentalized NAD⁺ synthesis during adipogenesis, we first assayed the expression of NMNAT mRNAs in adipocyte tissue and preadipocytes. We found that NMNAT-1 and NMNAT-2 are expressed in adipocyte tissue and preadipocytes (fig. S1A, B), whereas NMNAT-3 is expressed at very low to undetectable levels in preadipocytes (fig. S1B), suggesting potential cross-talk between the nuclear and cytoplasmic NAD⁺ biosynthesis pathways during adipogenesis. We recently reported on the dynamic regulation of PARP-1 enzymatic activity during adipogenesis (14) (fig. S2A, S3A, and S3B), suggesting a role for nuclear NAD⁺ during adipocyte differentiation.

To determine the effects of nuclear NAD⁺ biosynthesis on adipogenesis, we used shRNA-mediated knockdown of Nmnat1 in 3T3-L1 preadipocytes (15), a versatile and well-characterized cell line. Depletion of NMNAT-1 dramatically reduced PARP-1 enzymatic activity, as determined by blotting for poly(ADP-ribose) (PAR), which continued to decrease during the early phase (the first 24 hours) of differentiation (Fig. 1B and fig. S2A). PARP-1 activity in NMNAT-1-depleted cells was restored by re-expression of catalytically active, but not inactive, NMNAT-1, suggesting that nuclear NAD⁺ synthesis is required for PARP-1 activity (fig. S2B). Depletion of NMNAT-1 had a much greater effect on the activity of PARP-1 than on that of SIRT1, a nuclear NAD⁺-dependent protein deacetylase (fig. S3C to F), likely owing to the abundant expression of PARP-1 in preadipocytes (fig. S3G). Previously, we showed that inhibition of PARP-1 enzymatic activity during the early phase of adipogenesis in 3T3-L1 cells enhances differentiation (14). Depletion of NMNAT-1 phenocopies depletion of PARP-1; it increases lipid accumulation (Fig. 1C and D), induces the expression of adipocyte marker genes (e.g., Fasn and also Adipoq), and induces the expression of transcription factors that promote terminal differentiation and maintain adipocyte function (e.g., PPARγ and C/EBPα). PARP-1-mediated PARylation and the expression of adipocyte marker genes were observed in response to Nmnat1 knockout in NIH 3T3 cells (fig. S4 and fig. S4A). Similar effects on bulk PARP-1-mediated PARylation and the expression of adipocyte marker genes were observed in response to the loss of adipocyte differentiation in the stromal-vascular fraction (SVF) of adipose tissue collected from mice (15, 17) (fig. S4, D to F). Together, these results link the proadipogenic phenotype observed upon NMNAT-1 depletion to decreased PARP-1 activity in three different models of adipogenesis.
NMNAT-1 and PARP-1 control adipogenic gene expression through C/EBPβ

In follow-up experiments, we performed RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) using Nmnat1 or Parp1 knockout in 3T3-L1 cells to confirm that enhanced differentiation in response to reduced nuclear NAD⁺ synthesis occurs through PARP-1. At 2 days postdifferentiation, we observed significant overlap between the genes whose expression was altered upon Nmnat1 or Parp1 knockdown (Fig. 2B), further supporting the conclusion that NMNAT-1 regulates differentiation through PARP-1. To elucidate potential mechanisms underlying NMNAT-1- and PARP-1-dependent transcriptional regulation during adipogenesis, we determined which adipogenic transcription factor binding sites (presumed enhancers) interact with the promoters of NMNAT-1 and PARP-1-co-regulated genes in 3T3-L1 cells by integrating promoter capture Hi-C (PCHi-C) data with transcription factor chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)-seq data (18, 19). Greater than 70% of the promoters of the co-regulated genes looped to binding sites for C/EBPβ, a key adipogenic transcription factor during the early phase of adipocyte differentiation (9, 10) (Fig. 2C and fig. S5, A to C). Together, these results implicate C/EBPβ in the expression of adipogenic genes upon NMNAT-1 and PARP-1 depletion, leading to enhanced adipocyte differentiation. Importantly, C/EBPβ expression was not altered upon Nmnat1 knockdown in 3T3-L1 cells, either at the mRNA or protein level (Fig. 2, D and E), suggesting that enhanced differentiation was not due to increased expression of C/EBPβ. We have recently shown that PARP-1 PARylates C/EBPβ during the early phase of adipogenesis, thereby inhibiting its DNA-binding ability (14). Indeed, depletion of NMNAT-1 significantly increased C/EBPβ binding to target gene promoters, as assessed by ChIP-quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) (Fig. 2F). In addition, the genes whose promoters interact with known C/EBPβ binding sites were significantly induced upon depletion of NMNAT-1 or PARP-1 (Fig. 2G and fig. S5D). These results demonstrate that nuclear NAD⁺ synthesis by NMNAT-1 regulates PARP-1 enzymatic activity, which in turn modulates the adipogenic transcription program by regulating the binding of C/EBPβ to its target genes.

Increases in cytoplasmic NAD⁺ synthesis by NMNAT-2 reduces nuclear NAD⁺ levels

Because PAR levels rapidly decrease during differentiation (Fig. 3A and fig. S2A) and nuclear NAD⁺ synthesis is required for PARP-1 enzymatic activity, we hypothesized that NAD⁺ levels may decrease during the early phase of adipogenesis. Unexpectedly, however, the total intracellular NAD⁺ levels did not change during differentiation (Fig. 3A). We then postulated that nuclear NAD⁺ could be regulated differently from total intracellular NAD⁺. To test this hypothesis, we used NAD⁺ biosensors that contain a bipartite NAD⁺-binding domain from a bacterial NAD⁺-dependent DNA ligase fused to cpVenus fluorescent protein, with either nuclear or cytoplasmic localization signals (20) (Fig. 3B, top). These nuclear and cytoplasmic NAD⁺ sensors exhibited reduced fluorescence upon the binding of NAD⁺ (Fig. 3B, bottom). We expressed either the nuclear or cytoplasmic sensor and a corresponding cpVenus-only control in another subcellular compartment might be altered differently from those in the nucleus compartment. In this regard, we observed that the levels of mRNA and protein for the cytosolic NAD⁺ synthase, NMNAT-2, were rapidly induced within 8 hours of differentiation (Fig. 3A and figs. S8, S9A, and S9B). These changes in NMNAT-2 mRNA and protein were accompanied by increased levels of cytoplasmic NAD⁺.

Fig. 1. NMNAT-1 regulates PARP-1 activity and adipocyte differentiation. (A) Schematic representation of NAD⁺ biosynthesis by NMNATs and their subcellular localization. (B) Western blot showing the levels of PAR upon shRNA-mediated knockdown (KD) of Nmnat1 during the early phase of adipogenesis in 3T3-L1 cells. PAR levels (primarily automodification of PARP-1) represent the enzymatic activity of PARP-1. Blots of NMNAT-1, PARP-1, and SIRT1 are shown for comparison (β-tubulin was used as a control). M.W., molecular weight. (C and D) Accumulation of lipid droplets at 4 days (C) and 8 days (D) of differentiation after knockdown of Nmnat1 or Parp1 in 3T3-L1 cells. Lipids were stained using BODIPY 493/503 [green, (C)] or Oil Red O [red, (D)], and nuclei were stained using TO-PRO-3 [blue, (C)]. Scale bars in (C), 150 μm.
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during differentiation (Fig. 3, B and C), suggesting that a rapid induction of cytoplasmic NAD⁺ synthesis may lead to compartmentalized regulation of NAD⁺. To determine whether the rapid induction of NMNAT-2 might be responsible for the concomitant reduction in nuclear NAD⁺ levels, we measured nuclear NAD⁺ levels upon Nmnat2 knockdown. Unexpectedly, depletion of NMNAT-2 (Fig. S9, A and B) blocked the reduction in nuclear NAD⁺ levels during differentiation (Fig. 3, D and E, and Fig. S7B), suggesting that increased cytoplasmic NAD⁺ synthesis may control nuclear NAD⁺ levels. Moreover, depletion of NMNAT-2 increased nuclear PARP-1 enzymatic activity (Fig. S8B) and inhibited adipogenesis, as determined by the expression of adipogenic marker genes (Fig. 3F), without altering the total intracellular NAD⁺ levels (Fig. S9C). We further tested whether NMNAT-2 induction was sufficient to regulate PARP-1 activity by using 3T3-L1 cells expressing doxycycline (Dox)-inducible NMNAT-2. Nuclear PARP-1–mediated PARylation was significantly decreased in cells expressing wild-type NMNAT-2 but not in cells expressing a catalytically dead NMNAT-2 mutant (Fig. S9D). Collectively, these data indicate that NMNAT-2–mediated cytoplasmic NAD⁺ synthesis inhibits the enzymatic activity of PARP-1.

**Competition for NMN regulates nuclear NAD⁺ levels during adipocyte differentiation**

How might the induction of cytoplasmic NAD⁺ synthesis inhibit nuclear NAD⁺ synthesis and other nuclear events? One explanation is that NMNAT-2 competes with NMNAT-1 for their common substrates, NMN or ATP, thereby limiting substrate availability in the nucleus for NMNAT-1. In cells, the concentration of NMN is considerably lower than that of ATP (22), and the NMNATs have Kᵣ values for ATP that are significantly lower than the intracellular ATP concentration (22). Moreover, NMN is thought to be the rate-limiting factor for NAD⁺ biosynthesis (4, 12). Therefore, we hypothesized that a rapid induction of NMNAT-2 would deplete NMN from the nucleus and decrease the nuclear NAD⁺ concentration during differentiation (Fig. 4A). To test this hypothesis, we provided an exogenous source of NMN to 3T3-L1 cells in the culture medium. Consistent with a previous report (23), NMN supplementation

![Fig. 2. NMNAT-1 and PARP-1 regulate the adipogenic transcriptional program through C/EBPβ.](image)

(A) Expression of adipocyte marker genes in 3T3-L1 cells at 4 days of differentiation, as determined by RT-qPCR. Each bar represents the mean + SEM (n = 3). Asterisks indicate significant differences from the corresponding control (Student’s t test; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001). (B) RNA-seq assay of genes regulated upon Nmnat1 or Parp1 knockdown in 3T3-L1 cells compared with control knockdown after 2 days of differentiation. The overlapping region of the Venn diagram indicates co-regulated genes. (C) Percent of promoters of NMNAT-1 and PARP-1–co-regulated genes (from (B)) that interact with binding sites for adipogenic transcription factors (TFs). The interaction between the promoter regions of the co-regulated genes and the transcription factor binding sites (TFBSs) were determined by integrating published PCHi-C data [National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) data set GSE95533] and ChiP-seq data (NCBI GEO data set GSE27826). Bars show means + SEM. (D and E) Levels of Cebpβ mRNA assessed by RT-qPCR (D) and C/EBPβ protein assessed by Western blotting (E) in 3T3-L1 cells after knockdown of Nmnat1. Each bar represents the mean + SEM (n = 3). Bars marked with asterisks are significantly different from the control (Student’s t test; *P < 0.05). (F) C/EBPβ binding to the Cebpβ and Pparg gene promoters in 3T3-L1 cells after 4 hours of differentiation, as determined by ChiP-qPCR assays. Each bar represents the mean + SEM (n = 3). Bars marked with asterisks are significantly different from the control (Student’s t test; *P < 0.05). (G) Expression of genes whose promoters interact with C/EBPβ binding sites upon knockdown of Nmnat1 or Parp1. Significant C/EBPβ ChiP-seq peaks at 4 hours postdifferentiation were compared with PCHi-C–determined looping events to define the interactions. The expression level of those genes after 2 days of differentiation was compared with the expression level in control knockdown cells at day 0 to determine the fold change. Up-regulated genes (fold change > 1) were used in the analysis. Bars marked with different letters are significantly different from each other (Wilcoxon rank sum test; P < 0.0001).
increased the total intracellular NAD⁺ levels (Fig. 4B). NMN supplementation blocked the depletion of nuclear NAD⁺ during differentiation (Fig. 4, C and D, and fig. S7C), which resulted in increased PARP-1 enzymatic activity (Fig. 4E). Supplementation with NMN also inhibited the reduction of PARP-1 activity upon ectopic expression of NMNAT-2 (fig. S8E). These results suggest that NMN availability in the nucleus dictates the extent of nuclear NAD⁺ biosynthesis. Furthermore, promoting elevated nuclear NAD⁺ levels with NMN supplementation inhibits adipogenesis in both 3T3-L1 cells and primary SVF preadipocytes, as determined by the expression of adipogenic marker genes (Fig. 4F). Thus, high nuclear NAD⁺ levels act as an inhibitory signal for adipocyte differentiation by regulating PARP-1 activity. The inhibitory effect of NMN supplementation on adipocyte differentiation was abolished upon knockdown of either Nmnat1 or Parp1 (Fig. 4G), and induction of NMNAT-2 expression did not restore PARP-1 enzymatic activity after Nmnat1 knockdown (fig. S10), further supporting our conclusion that nuclear NAD⁺ synthesis regulates adipocyte differentiation.

**NMNAT-2 induction is associated with enhanced glucose metabolism**

To understand why cells would require increased cytoplasmic NAD⁺ synthesis to regulate nuclear events during differentiation, we considered the role of NAD⁺ as a metabolic cofactor (Fig. 5A). During differentiation, we observed a rapid induction of key genes involved in glucose metabolism within 8 hours of differentiation, indicating increased glucose metabolism during the early phase of adipogenesis (fig. S11A). To confirm these results, we differentiated 3T3-L1 cells in medium containing D[U-¹³C]glucose (U, uniformly labeled) and measured ¹³C enrichment in intracellular metabolites by mass spectrometry (fig. S11B). We observed a rapid (within 8 hours) increase in glycolytic intermediate metabolites containing glucose-derived ¹³C, as well as citrate m+2 (citrate containing two additional mass units from ¹³C) upon differentiation (fig. S11, C and D), indicating an increase in glucose flux into glycolysis and the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle during the early phase of adipogenesis. Depletion of NMNAT-2, however, did not affect the expression of genes involved in glucose metabolism (Fig. 5B and fig. S12A). We hypothesized that elevated NMNAT-2 levels might support increased glucose metabolism during differentiation by providing a supply of cytoplasmic NAD⁺. Changes in cytoplasmic NAD⁺ concentrations could potentially affect the kinetics of the enzymes involved in glucose metabolism (fig. S12B). Indeed, Nmnat2 knockdown decreased cytoplasmic NAD⁺ levels (fig. S12, C and D), as well as lactate secretion (fig. S12E) and the levels of glucose-derived citrate m+2.

---

**Fig. 3. Nuclear NAD⁺ levels are regulated through compartmentalized biosynthesis.** (A) The levels of total intracellular NAD⁺ (enzyme-linked NAD⁺ assay), PAR (Western blot), and Nmnat2 mRNA (RT-qPCR) were determined at the indicated differentiation time points in 3T3-L1 cells (means ± SEM). (B) Detection of nuclear (Nuc) and cytoplasmic (Cyto) NAD⁺ levels in 3T3-L1 cells by using a cpVenus-based NAD⁺ biosensor. Representative images of NAD⁺ sensor fluorescence during the early phase of differentiation are shown. (C) Changes in subcellular NAD⁺ levels during the early phase of differentiation of 3T3-L1 cells. NAD⁺ levels were calculated from sensor(488/405 nm)/control(488/405 nm) fluorescence ratios determined by flow cytometry using a standard curve. Each bar represents the mean + SEM (n = 7). Bars marked with asterisks are significantly different from the undifferentiated (0 hours) control [analysis of variance (ANOVA); **P < 0.01]. (D) Representative images of nuclear NAD⁺ sensor fluorescence (488/405 nm) during differentiation upon Nmnat2 knockdown. (E) Effect of Nmnat2 knockdown on nuclear NAD⁺ levels in 3T3-L1 cells. Relative nuclear NAD⁺ levels were determined from the sensor(488/405 nm)/control(488/405 nm) fluorescence ratio using flow cytometry. Each bar represents the mean + SEM (n = 3). Asterisks indicate significant differences from the control knockdown at the 0-hour time point (ANOVA; ***P < 0.05). (F) Effect of Nmnat2 knockdown on the differentiation of 3T3-L1 cells. Differentiation was assayed by the expression of adipocyte marker genes. Each bar represents the mean + SEM (n = 3). Asterisks indicate significant differences from the control (Student’s t test; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; ****P < 0.0001). Scale bars, 10 µm in (B) and 20 µm in (D).
(Fig. 5C and fig. S12F). However, Nmnat2 knockdown did not reduce the glucose flux back to basal levels (Fig. 5C and fig. S12, E and F), suggesting that induction of NMNAT-2 is not solely driving the enhanced glucose metabolism during differentiation, but rather supports glucose metabolism by supplying cytoplasmic NAD⁺.

We explored further whether the elevated levels of NMNAT-2 are dependent on changes in glucose flux by altering glucose levels in the differentiation medium. Unexpectedly, the induction of NMNAT-2 was abolished when 3T3-L1 cells were differentiated in medium containing low levels of glucose (Fig. 5D). PAR levels remained high during differentiation with glucose deprivation (Fig. 5D), suggesting that the absence of NMNAT-2 induction leads to sustained levels of nuclear NAD⁺ and PARP-1 enzymatic activity. Similar results were observed when the cells were differentiated in the presence of glycolysis inhibitor 2-deoxyglucose, supporting the

Fig. 4. Substrate competition between NMNAT-1 and NMNAT-2 regulates nuclear NAD⁺ levels during differentiation. (A) Schematic representation of substrate competition between NMNAT-1 and NMNAT-2. (B to D) Supplementation with exogenous NMN disrupts NMNAT-1 and NMNAT-2 substrate competition. Effects on total intracellular NAD⁺ (B) and nuclear NAD⁺ levels [(C) and (D)] upon supplementation with 1 mM NMN are shown. Bar graphs [(B) and (D)] represent means ± SEM (n = 7). Asterisks indicate significant differences from the undifferentiated (0 hours) control (ANOVA; *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01). Representative images (C) show changes in nuclear NAD⁺ sensor fluorescence ratios during differentiation. Scale bars, 20 μm. Nuclear NAD⁺ levels (D) were determined by the sensor (488/405 nm)/control (488/405 nm) fluorescence ratios using flow cytometry. (E) Western blots showing the rescue of PARP-1 enzymatic activity during early differentiation upon supplementation with 5 mM NMN. PAR levels indicate PARP-1 enzymatic activity. (F and G) Supplementation with exogenous NMN (5 mM) inhibits the differentiation of control 3T3-L1 cells (F), but not Nmnat1− and PARP-1−depleted cells (G). The expression of adipocyte marker genes determined by RT-qPCR was used to assess the extent of differentiation. Each bar represents the mean ± SEM (n = 3). Asterisks indicate significant differences versus the corresponding control (Student’s t test; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001); ns, not significant (Student’s t test; P > 0.05).
conclusion that NMNAT-2 induction depends on glucose metabolism (Fig. 5E). However, the increase in Nmnat2 mRNA was not affected by glucose levels or inhibition of glycolysis (fig. S13A), and the inhibition of proteasome-mediated protein degradation prevented NMNAT-2 degradation upon glucose deprivation (fig. S13B), suggesting that the glucose-dependent modulation of NMNAT-2 levels occurs through posttranslational regulation.

Because PARP-1 PARylates C/EBPβ and inhibits its DNA binding (14), we hypothesized that a loss of NMNAT-2 induction upon glucose deprivation might alter C/EBPβ DNA binding. The expression of C/EBPβ was not affected by glucose metabolism, either at the mRNA or protein level (fig. S14, A and B). However, the binding of C/EBPβ to target gene promoters was dramatically reduced when glycolysis was inhibited during differentiation (Fig. 5F), and adipogenesis was significantly reduced when glucose was deprived from the medium (fig. S15, A and B), suggesting that adipogenic transcription is regulated by glucose metabolism. The inhibitory effect of glucose deprivation on adipogenesis was abolished upon knockdown of Nmnat1 and Parp1 (fig. S15B), supporting the conclusion that metabolic regulation of adipogenic transcription is mediated by nuclear NAD⁺ synthesis and PARP-1.

A similar pathway for compartmentalized NAD⁺ biosynthesis exists in cancer cells

We further tested whether similar mechanisms play a key role in a completely different biological system. We focused on the SH-SY5Y human neuroblastoma cell line, which expresses a high level of NMNAT2 (fig. S16, A and B). Consistent with our observations in preadipocytes, knockdown of NMNAT2 in SH-SY5Y cells significantly increased PARP-1 enzymatic activity (fig. S16C). In addition, NMNAT2 knockdown inhibited the growth of the cells, suggesting that NMNAT-2 is important for cancer cell growth (fig. S16D). Moreover, glucose deprivation in SH-SY5Y cells also decreased the levels of NMNAT-2 protein and increased the enzymatic activity of PARP-1 (fig. S16, E and F). Collectively, these data suggest that compartmentalized NAD⁺ biosynthesis is not only important in adipogenesis, but can also play a key role in other biological processes, such as a cancer cell growth.

Discussion

Our studies have elucidated the pathway leading from enhanced glucose metabolism to the adipogenic

Fig. 5. NMNAT-2 induction is associated with enhanced glucose metabolism during the early phase of adipogenesis. (A) Schematic representation of the potential role of NMNAT-1 and NMNAT-2 during adipocyte differentiation. (B) Nmnat2 knockdown does not affect the expression of genes involved in glucose metabolism after 8 hours of differentiation, as determined by RT-qPCR. None of the minor differences are significant (Student’s t test; P > 0.05). (C) Nmnat2 knockdown alters glucose flux during the differentiation of 3T3-L1 cells. Mass isotopomer analysis of citrate m+2 in cells with or without Nmnat2 knockdown. Asterisks indicate significant differences from the corresponding control (Student’s t test; *P < 0.05; ns, not significant (Student’s t test; P > 0.05). (D and E) Expression of NMNAT-2 in 3T3-L1 cells differentiated in the presence of various extracellular glucose levels (D) or the glycolysis inhibitor 2-deoxyglucose (2-DG) (E) by Western blotting. (F) Regulation of C/EBPβ binding to target gene promoters in 3T3-L1 cells by ChIP-qPCR upon inhibition of glycolysis with 2-DG. The assays were done 8 hours postdifferentiation. Asterisks indicate significant differences from the corresponding control (Student’s t test; **P < 0.01). TSS, transcription start site. Throughout, bars represent means ± SEM.
Intracellular NAD⁺ concentrations, compartmentalization, and biological outcomes

During differentiation, the free nuclear NAD⁺ concentration, as measured by a nuclear NAD⁺ sensor, drops from above PARP-1’s $K_v$ for NAD⁺ (~100 μM) to below its $K_v$ for NAD⁺ (~40 μM) (Fig. 3C). This suggests that fluctuations in free nuclear NAD⁺ have the ability to regulate the activity of PARP-1 and, perhaps, other nuclear NAD⁺-dependent enzymes with suitable $K_v$ values for NAD⁺. The previously reported total intracellular NAD⁺ concentration in cultured mammalian cells (~300 to 500 μM (26)) exceeds the estimated free NAD⁺ concentration in the distinct subcellular compartments (Fig. 3C) (20). The disparity between total and free NAD⁺ suggests that a portion of intracellular NAD⁺ may be bound to protein, consistent with previous results (26). Therefore, cellular events that facilitate the release of protein-bound NAD⁺ or, alternatively, promote the binding of NAD⁺ to proteins could potentially alter the amount of free NAD⁺ and consequently the enzymatic activity of NAD⁺-consuming enzymes. Given that the free NAD⁺ concentration is a key regulator of NAD⁺-dependent enzymes, understanding the factors that regulate free versus bound NAD⁺ could point to a previously unrecognized regulatory mechanism for NAD⁺-dependent enzymes.

Our data show that nuclear NAD⁺ biosynthesis is necessary for PARP-1-dependent regulatory events during the differentiation of preadipocytes. Such compartmentalization of metabolites, small molecules, and ions within the cell is not unprecedented. For example, cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) (27), Ca²⁺ (28), ATP (39), and acetyl-CoA (30) have also been reported to be compartmentalized and exhibit compartment-specific fluctuations in their concentrations. As with NAD⁺, the precise mechanisms governing the compartmentalization and regulation of cAMP, Ca²⁺, ATP, and acetyl-CoA are also unclear. In the case of nuclear versus cytoplasmic NAD⁺, the diameter of the nuclear pore would not be expected to impose any physical barrier to the diffusion of NAD⁺ between these two compartments (31). So how might compartmentalization occur?

One possibility is that cellular NAD⁺ synthesis may be restricted to the site of consumption to support local demands, similar to cAMP, which is produced in distinct microdomains to increase local concentrations (27). This effect could be mediated by colocalization of metabolite producers and consumers, so that the consumers use the free metabolites as substrates before the metabolites can diffuse an appreciable distance away from their sources (32), perhaps through a
substrate-channeling mechanism (33). In this regard, NMNAT-1 binds to, colocalizes with, and regulates the enzymatic activity of PARP-1 and other chromatin-bound NAD+-dependent enzymes at target gene promoters (34, 35), which is consistent with a substrate-channeling mechanism.

Another possibility is that the nucleus and cytoplasm share a contiguous NAD⁺ pool that can be altered independently and transiently in a temporal manner. Although the diffusion of small molecules should be extremely fast, reaching equilibrium rapidly when in free solution, diffusion rates can be inhibited considerably by molecular crowding inside the cell (36), which may allow cells to regulate metabolite pools in a compartment-specific manner. In this case, rapid and robust local changes in metabolite synthesis or consumption may cause local changes within a compartment that are not distributed across the entire pool. Indeed, we observed rapid induction of NMNAT-2 and glucose metabolism during differentiation (Fig. 3A and figs. S8, S9A, S9B, S11C, and S11D), suggesting that enhanced synthesis and consumption of NAD⁺ in the cytoplasm under these conditions may restrict the diffusion of newly synthesized NAD⁺. Further studies will be required to determine whether these or other mechanisms govern the compartmentalization and regulation of intracellular NAD⁺.

### Nuclear NAD⁺ concentration and the activity of nuclear NAD⁺-dependent enzymes

Although PARP-1 is considered to be the major NAD⁺-consuming enzyme in the nucleus, other NAD⁺-dependent enzymes, such as PARP-2, PARP-3, and SIRTs, are also present in the nucleus. Among these, SIRT1 has been reported to be a key regulator of various metabolic processes, with a catalytic activity that depends on intracellular NAD⁺ concentrations (7). Thus, it is reasonable to speculate that fluctuations in nuclear NAD⁺ levels may also regulate SIRT1 enzymatic activity. The reported $K_m$ of SIRT1 for NAD⁺ varies between 2.2 and ~300 μM, depending on the substrate and the study reporting the effect (26, 37). If the $K_m$ of SIRT1 for NAD⁺ is below ~40 μM (37), then the changes that we observed in nuclear NAD⁺ concentrations during the early phase of differentiation would not be sufficient to effect SIRT1 catalytic activity. In contrast, if the $K_m$ of SIRT1 for NAD⁺ is well above ~100 μM (26), then the changes that we observed in nuclear NAD⁺ concentrations might possibly affect SIRT1 catalytic activity—but likely not nearly to the same extent as that of PARP-1, whose $K_m$ is near the basal predifferentiation concentrations of NAD⁺ in the preadipocytes (~100 μM was the highest nuclear NAD⁺ concentration that we measured; Fig. 3C). Our results in 3T3-L1 cells favor the former possibility, because we observed a moderate effect of differentiation on Nmnat1 knockdown on SIRT1 activity with acetylated H4K16 (histone H4 lysine 16) or acetylated p53 (fig. S3, C to F).

Although fluctuations in nuclear NAD⁺ concentrations have little effect on SIRT1 enzymatic activity in 3T3-L1 cells, other regulatory mechanisms, such as allosteric regulatory interactions with NMNAT-1 at target gene promoters (35) or functional interactions with DBC-1 (38), may play an important role. These additional mechanisms, which could provide distinct approaches for the cell to regulate SIRT1 versus PARP-1, may be key to understanding the cell type– or tissue–specific regulation of NAD⁺-dependent enzymes. Nmnat1 knockdown significantly increased p53 acetylation levels in differentiated adipocytes (fig. S3, E and F), suggesting that SIRT1 activity is more sensitive to nuclear NAD⁺ synthesis in mouse adipocytes. This result supports previous reports that SIRT1 plays a critical role in adipocyte metabolism through PPARγ regulation (39, 40). Thus, NMNAT-1 and SIRT1 may play critical roles in fully differentiated adipocytes, but not the early stages of adipogenesis. In fact, the expression of Sirt1 in preadipocytes is significantly lower than that of Purpy (fig. S3G) and increases during differentiation (39). These observations suggest that nuclear NAD⁺ may regulate gene expression through distinct NAD⁺-dependent enzymes in different biological processes, such as early adipogenesis versus mature adipocyte biology.

Efforts to understand the biological importance of NMNATs have been largely limited to their function in neurodegeneration. However, given the role of NAD⁺ as a universal metabolic cofactor and a substrate for enzymes that are known to regulate metabolic processes in a variety of tissues and cell types (6, 7, 26), we should expand our thinking about these NAD⁺ biosynthetic enzymes. In particular, further exploration of their roles in compartment-specific NAD⁺ synthesis and the regulation of metabolism in vivo is needed. The use of tissue-specific depletion of NMNATs, PARPs, and SIRTs will undoubtedly be required to resolve such questions in the future.

### Materials and methods

#### Generation of Nmnat1 conditional knockout mice

Frozen Nmnat1fltm1a(EUCOMM)WtsiEmbryonic stem cell embryos on a C57BL/6N background were obtained from the International Mouse Phenotyping Consortium (IMPC, MGI ID 1913704) and were recovered at UT Southwestern’s Transgenic Core Facility. For all experiments, mice were housed and maintained at UT Southwestern’s Animal Resource Center. The reporter cassette was removed by crossing Nmnat1fltm1a mice with FLP recombinase-expressing B6.129S4-CAG-flstopflstop/SlN (Jackson Laboratory, stock no. 029390). After removing the reporter cassette, the resulting Nmnat1flata mice were self-crossed to generate homozygous Nmnat1flata/flata mice. To produce mice with a Tamoxifen-inducible conditional allele of Nmnat1 (Nmnat1flatafloxed/loxP; CAG-cre/ER2), Nmnat1flata/loxP mice were crossed with transgenic mice containing a CAG-cre/ER2 cassette [B6.G(F1)CAG-cre;ERT2tm1AmcJ; The Jackson Laboratory, stock no. 004682]. All of the mouse genotypes were confirmed by short-range PCR, using PCR primers listed in the supplementary materials.

All of the studies with mice were performed according to IACUC guidelines under a protocol approved by UT Southwestern’s Animal Use Committee.

#### Isolation of SVF cells from white adipose tissue

SVF cells were isolated as described previously (41). Briefly, 4- to 6-week-old male mice (2 mice per condition) were sacrificed and the inguinal white adipose tissue (WAT) was collected. The WAT was washed, pooled, minced, and digested for 2 hours at 37°C in 10 ml of digestion solution (100 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 120 mM NaCl, 50 mM KCl, 5 mM glucose, 1 mM CaCl₂, 1 mg/ml collagenase D (Roche, 11088858001), and 1.5% BSA). The digested WAT tissue was filtered through a 100-μm cell strainer to remove undigested tissue, and 30 ml of SVF cell culture medium (10% FBS, 1% penicillin/streptomycin in DMEM/F12, GlutaMAX (Life Technologies, 30056-018) was added to dilute the digestion buffer. The flow-through was centrifuged for 5 min at 600g to collect the SVF cells. The cell pellet was resuspended in 10 ml of SVF culture medium, and passed through a 40-μm cell strainer to remove clumps of cells and large adipocytes. The cells were collected again by centrifugation at 600g for 5 min, resuspended in SVF culture medium (5 ml per 2 mouse equivalents), and plated in a 6-cm-diameter collagen-coated culture dish until well attached.

#### Cell culture and differentiation

SVF cells (16, 17) were grown in SVF culture medium until confluent and were then cultured for 2 more days under contact inhibition. The cells were then treated for 2 days with an adipogenic cocktail (MDI), including 0.5 mM IBMX (3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine; Calbiochem, 406507), 1 μM dexamethasone (Sigma, D4902), and 5 μg/ml insulin (Sigma, I-5500). Subsequently, the cells were cultured in medium containing 5 μg/ml insulin for the indicated times before collection.

3T3-L1 cells (15) and NIH/3T3 cells were obtained from the American Type Cell Culture (ATCC, CL-173 and CRL-1658, respectively) and were mycoplasma-free. They were maintained in DMEM (Cellgro, 10-017-CM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Atlanta Biologicals, S11550) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. For the glucose titration experiments, the 3T3-L1 cells were grown in DMEM without glucose (Life Technologies, 11866-025). For the induction of adipogenesis, the 3T3-L1 cells were grown to confluence and then cultured for 2 more days under contact inhibition. The cells were then treated for 2 days with an MDI adipogenic cocktail containing 0.25 mM IBMX, 1 μM dexamethasone, and 10 μg/ml insulin. Subsequently, the cells were cultured in medium containing 10 μg/ml insulin for the indicated times before collection. For the induction of adipogenesis in NIH/3T3 cells, 1 μM Rosiglitazone (Sigma, R2408) was added to promote the differentiation process.

293T cells were obtained from the ATCC (CRL-3216) and were mycoplasma-free. They were maintained in DMEM (Cellgro, 10-017-CM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin.
MCF-7 cells, kindly provided by Benita Katzenellenbogen (University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign), were cultured in minimal essential medium (MEM; Sigma, M1018) supplemented with 5% calf serum (Sigma, C8056), 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco, 15140122), and 25 μg/ml gentamicin (Gibco, I750064).

MCF10A (CRL-10317), MDA-MB-231 (HTB-26), and SH-SY5Y (CRL-12266) cells were obtained from ATCC. MCF10A cells were cultured in mammary epithelial cell culture kit (Lonza, CC-25318) and MDA-MB-231 cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 (Sigma, R8578) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. SH-SY5Y cells were cultured in DMEM (Cellgro, 10-017-CM) supplemented with 20% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin.

Cell treatments

3T3-L1 or SVF were exposed to various treatments and culture conditions for the experiments described herein. For treatment with NMN (1 mM or 5 mM; Sigma, N3501), MG-132 (10 μM, Sigma, M7449), or 2-deoxy-D-glucose (5 mM; Sigma, D8375), the cells were grown until confluent and then pretreated with either compound for 2 hours prior to the addition of the MDI cocktail. The cells were then differentiated in medium with MDI in the presence of NMN or 2-deoxy-D-glucose for the indicated times before collection. For differentiation longer than 2 days, the compounds were added to the medium with MDI for 2 days, then removed when changing culture medium. For SIRT1 inhibition, the cells were treated with 10 μM Sirtinol (Calbiochem, 5663320) for 48 hours before collection. For the Dox-inducible system, we treated the cells with 1 μg/ml (for NAD⁺ sensors and Dox-inducible knockdown) or 250 ng/ml (for NMNAT-2 overexpression) Dox for 48 hours. For NAMPT inhibition, we treated the cells with 50 nM FK866 (Sigma, F8557) for 48 hours. For Tamoxifen-inducible, Cre-mediated Nmnat1 deletion, Nmnat1HairpinF, and CAG-CreERT2 SVF cells were cultured until confluent and were then treated with 1 μM 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-OHT; Sigma, H4140) for 2 days before the induction of adipogenesis, as described above.

Antibodies

The custom rabbit polyclonal antiserum against PARP-1 used for Western blotting and ChIP assays was generated by using a purified recombinant antigen comprising the amino-terminal half of PARP-1 (42) (now available from Active Motif; cat. no. 39559). The custom rabbit polyclonal antiserum against NMNAT-1 was raised against purified recombinant human and mouse NMNAT-1 (Pocono Rabbit Farm and Laboratory). The custom recombinant antibody-like anti-poly-ADP-ribose binding reagent (anti-PAR) was generated and purified in-house (now available from EMD Millipore, MABE1031). The other antibodies used were as follows: C/EBPα (Santa Cruz, sc-150X), Nmnat2 (Abcam, ab56980), β-Tubulin (Abcam, ab6046), SIRT1 [custom rabbit polyclonal antiserum raised against mouse SIRT1 (35)], acetyl-p53 K379 (Cell signaling, #2570), p53 (Cell signaling, #2524), H4K16Ac (Millipore, 07-329), Histone H4 (Millipore, 07-108), rabbit IgG (Invitrogen, 10500C), goat anti-rabbit HRP-conjugated IgG (Pierce, 31460), and goat anti-mouse HRP-conjugated IgG (Pierce, 31430).

Molecular cloning to generate expression and knockdown vectors

shRNAs targeting Nmnat1, Parp1, and NMNAT2

shRNA constructs targeting mouse Nmnat1 mRNA (TRCN0000011435, TRCN000035596) and control shRNA (SHC002) were purchased from Sigma. We generated an shRNA construct targeting mouse Parp1 mRNA by cloning a double-stranded oligonucleotide (5′-GGGCCAGCGACGTTCGAA-3′) into the pLKO.1 vector (SHC001), which confers puromycin resistance. Dox-inducible shRNA sequences targeting human NMNAT2 mRNA were purchased from Dhamacon (VTHS400729, VTHS400730, VTHS_400733) and were cloned individually, along with a corresponding control shRNA targeting luciferase, into the pTRIPZ vector using a double-stranded oligonucleotide (5′-GAGATATGCGCTGAA-TCAACATC-3′).

RNAi-resistant Nmnat1 expression constructs
cDNA was prepared by extracting total RNA from 3T3-L1 cells using Trizol (Invitrogen, 15596026), followed by reverse transcription using supercript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, 180805) and an oligo(dT) primer according to manufacturers' instructions. Nmnat1 cDNA was then amplified from the cDNA library and cloned into the pBabe-neo (Addgene, 1767) retroviral expression vector using the primers listed in the supplementary materials. cDNAs for an RNAi-resistant mutant and a catalytically inactive mutant (W170A) were generated by site-directed mutagenesis using Pfu Turbo DNA polymerase (Agilent, 356375). The resulting viruses were used to infect. The resulting viruses were collected in the culture medium, concentrated by using a Lenti-X concentrator (Dountech, 631231), and used to infect cells.

Retroviruses were generated by transfection of the pMSCV constructs described above, together with an expression vector for the VSV-G envelope protein (pCMV-VSV-G), into Phoenix Ampho cells using GeneJuice transfection reagent (Novagen, 70967) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The retroviruses were used to infect. Stably transfected cells were selected with puromycin (Sigma, P9620; 2 μg/ml) or G418 sulfate (Sigma, A1720; 1 mg/ml).

Knockdown of Nmnat2 using siRNAs

Commercially available siRNA oligos targeting Nmnat2 (Sigma, SASL_Mm01_00083355, SASL_Mm01_00083356, and SASL_Mm01_00083357) were transfected at a final concentration of 20 nM using Lipofectamine RNAMax reagent (Invitrogen, 11778150) according to the manufacturer's instructions. All experiments were performed 48 hours after siRNA transfection.

Preparation of cell lysates and Western blotting

3T3-L1 and SVF cells were cultured and differentiated as described above. The cells were then washed twice with ice-cold PBS and lysed with Lysis Buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1% NP-40, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS) containing 1 mM DTT, 250 mM NAP-HPD (Sigma, A0627; a PARG inhibitor to prevent PAR chain cleavage during extraction), 10 μM P384 (a PARP inhibitor to prevent PAR synthesis during extraction), and 1x complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, 11897489001). For measuring SIRT1 activity, 10 mM sodium sulfate (a class II/III HDAC inhibitor) and 10 μM Sirtinol (a sirtuin inhibitor) were added to the lysate to prevent deacetylation during extraction. For the chromatin fractions, lysed cells were sonicated in Lysis Buffer to solubilize the chromatin. For the nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions, the cells were first resuspended in Isotonic Buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 2 mM MgCl₂, 3 mM CaCl₂, 0.3 M sucrose, 1 mM DTT, and 1x complete protease inhibitor cocktail), incubated on ice for 15 min, and lysed by the addition of 0.6% IGEPA.
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RNA isolation and RT-qPCR

3T3-L1 cells or SVF cells were seeded at ~2 × 10^5 cells per well in 6-well plates and treated as described above. For tissue RNA isolations, 6- to 8-week-old C57BL/6 male mice were used. The cells and tis-
iques were collected and total RNA was isolated using Trizol Reagent (Invitrogen) according to the
manufacturer’s protocols. Total RNA was reverse transcribed using oligo (dT) primers and MMLV reverse transcriptase (Promega) to generate cDNA. The cDNA samples were subjected to qPCR using gene-specific primers, as described below. For the reverse transcription quantitative real-time PCR (RT-qPCR) analyses, “relative expression” was determined in comparison to a value from the first biological replicate of the control sample. Target gene expression was normalized to the expression of Tbp mRNA (mouse) or RPL39 mRNA (human). The normalized value from the first biological replicate of the control sample was set to 1 and all the rest of the values, including the values from other biological replicate of controls, were plotted against it. All experiments were performed a minimum of three times with indepen-
dential biological replicates to ensure reproducibility and a statistical significance of at least P < 0.05. Statistical differences between control and experimental samples were determined using the Student’s t test. All experimental groups that were compared had similar variance as determined by the standard deviation of the biological replications within each group.

ChIP-qPCR

3T3-L1 cells were cultured, differentiated, and treated as described above in 15-cm-diameter plates. ChIP was performed as described previ-
ously (45, 46), with slight modifications. Briefly, the cells were cross-linked with 1% formalde-
hyde in PBS for 10 min at 37°C and quenched in 125 mM glycine in PBS for 5 min at 4°C. Cross-
linked cells were then collected by centrifugation and lysed in Farnham Lysis Buffer (5 mM PIPEs pH 8.0, 85 mM KC1, 0.5% NP-40, 1 mM DTT, and 1× complete protease inhibitor cocktail). A crude nuclear pellet was collected by centrifugation, resuspended in Sonication Buffer (50 mM Tris-Cl pH 7.5, 1% SDS, 10 mM EDTA, 1× DTT, and 1x complete protease inhibitor cocktail), and soni-
cated to generate chromatin fragments of ~300 bp in length. The soluble chromatin was clarified by centrifugation, diluted 1:10 with Dilution Buffer (20 mM Tris-Cl pH 7.9, 0.5% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, 1× DTT, and 1× complete protease inhibitor cocktail) and pre-cleared with protein A agarose beads.
The pre-cleared samples were used in immuno-
precipitation reactions with antibodies against C/EBPβ or with rabbit IgG (as a control) with incubation overnight at 4°C. The samples were washed with Low Salt Wash Buffer (20 mM Tris-Cl pH 7.9, 2 mM EDTA, 125 mM NaCl, 0.05% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 1× aprotinin, and 1 μM leupeptin), High Salt Wash Buffer (20 mM Tris-Cl pH 7.9, 2 mM EDTA, 500 mM NaCl, 0.05% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 1× aprotinin, and 1 μM leupeptin), LICI Wash Buffer (10 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.9, 1× EDTA, 250 mM LiCl, 1% NP-40, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 1 μM aprotinin, and 1 μM leupeptin), and 1× Tris-EDTA (TE). The immuno-
precipitated genomic DNA was eluted in Elution Buffer (100 mM NaHCO3, 1% SDS), digested with proteinase K and RNase H to remove protein and RNA, respectively, and then extracted with phenol: chloroform:isoamyl alcohol. The ChIPed genomic DNA was subjected to qPCR using gene-specific primes, as described below. The immunoprecipitation of genomic DNA was normalized to the input. All ex-
periments were performed in triplicate with three times with independent biological replicates to ensure reproducibility and a statistical significance of at least P < 0.05. Statistical differences between control and experimental samples were deter-
med using the Student’s t test. All experimental groups that were compared had similar variance as determined by the standard deviation of the biological replications within each group.

qPCR

qPCR was performed as described previously (47). Briefly, cDNA or ChIPed DNA samples were mixed with 1x SYBR Green PCR master mix and primers (forward and reverse, 250 nM), and then subjected to 45 cycles of amplification (95°C for 10 s, 60°C for 10 s, 72°C for 1 s) following an initial 5 min incubation at 95°C using a Roche LightCycler 480 384-well detection system. Melting curve analyses were performed to ensure that only the targeted amplicon was amplified. All qPCR-
based experiments were performed a minimum of three times with independent biological replications to ensure reproducibility and a statistical significance of at least P < 0.05. Statistical differences between control and experimental samples were determined using the Student’s t test. All experimental groups that were compared had similar variance as determined by the standard deviation of the biological replications within each group.

RNA-seq

Generation of RNA-seq libraries

Two biological replicates of control, Nmnat1, and Parp1 knockdown 3T3-L1 cells were differenti-
ted as described above. Total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacture’s instructions. The total RNA was then enriched for polyA+ RNA using Dynabeads Oligo(DT)25 (Invitrogen). The polyA+ RNA was then used to generate strand-specific RNA-seq libraries as described previously (48). The RNA-seq libraries were subjected to QC analyses (i.e., number of PCR cycles required to amplify each library, the final library yield, and the size distribution of final library DNA fragments) and sequenced using an Illumina HiSeq 2000.

Analysis of RNA-seq data

The raw data were subjected to QC analyses using the FastQC tool (49). The reads were then mapped to mouse genome (mm10) using the spliced read aligner TopHat version 2.0.13 (50). Transcriptional assembly was performed using cufflinks v2.2.1 (57) with default parameters. The transcripts were merged into two distinct, nonoverlapping sets using cuffmerge, followed by cuffdiff to call the differentially regulated transcripts. The signifi-
cantly (P < 0.05) regulated genes upon Nmnat1 or Parp1 knockdown compared to control knockdown at the indicated time points were used to find the commonly regulated gene set. Similar analyses were performed with published RNA-seq data sets (GSE57415, GSE29899).

Linking transcription factors to gene regulation using PCHi-C data

In order to determine the transcription factors that bind upstream of the Nmnat1-1 and PARP-1 commonly regulated genes, we queried publicly available ChIP-seq data sets for C/EBPβ, STAT5A, RXRa, C/EBF6, and GR (NCBI GEO accession number GSE27826) (49) and the called peaks from these data sets were lifted over to mm10. To deter-
mine which transcription factors interact with the promoters of PARP-1 and Nmnat1-1-regulated genes, raw sequence reads from published PCHi-C data sets (GSE85533) (48) were processed using the HiCUP pipeline (52), which maps the positions of di-tags against the mouse genome (mm10), filters out experimental artifacts (e.g., circularized reads and re-ligation products), and removes all duplicate reads. Hicpipe (53), version 0.9 was used to correct the PCHi-C contact maps using the re-
striction enzyme site HindIII and the mapped paired reads. A custom script (available from W.L.K. upon request) was used to define all the interactions between the promoters and the transcription factor binding sites. The genomic loci interacting with the promoters of genes
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co-regulated by Nmnat1 and Parp1 knockdown were compared to the individual transcription factor ChIP-seq peaks to identify the promoter-transcription factor binding site interactions. The number of NMMAT-1 and PARP-1 regulated genes containing significant ChIP-seq peaks of the specified transcription factors at their interacting genomic loci were divided by the total number of NMMAT-1/PARP-1 co-regulated genes to determine the percent of co-regulated gene promoters interacting with each transcription factor.

To determine the expression levels of the genes whose promoters interact with C/EBPβ binding sites, we first identified C/EBPβ binding sites using ChIP-seq data (GSE27826) and then determined all the promoters interacting with these binding sites using PCHI-C (GSE95533). Among these gene promoters, we took only the genes expressed in 3T3-L1 cells (FPKM > 1) during differentiation. The expression level of these genes (determined by RNA-seq in control, Nmnat1 and Parp1 knockdown 3T3-L1 cells at day 2 or 4) was compared to the control knockdown at day 0 to calculate the fold change for each gene. Up-regulated genes were defined as fold change greater than 1. All custom scripts are available by request from W.L.K.

**Genomic data sets**

The new RNA-seq generated for this study can be accessed from the NCBI’s Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) repository (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) using the accession number GSE96764.

**Intracellular lipid staining**

**BODIPY staining**

3T3-L1 cells were seeded on sterile cover slips in 24-well plates and differentiated as described above. The cells were rinsed twice with 1x PBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde. The fixed cells were washed twice with 1x PBS and stained with 1 μg/ml of BODIPY 493/503 (Life Technologies, D3922) for 10 min. The cells were then washed three times with 1x PBS and counter-stained with 1 μM TO-PRO-3 (Life Technologies, T3605) for 2 min. The cover slips were then mounted onto glass slides with VECTASHIELD Mounting Medium (Vector Laboratories, H-1000).

Confocal images were acquired using a Leica SP2 confocal microscope.

**Oil Red O staining**

3T3-L1 cells were cultured in 6-well plates and differentiated as described above. After 5 days of differentiation, the cells were rinsed twice with 1x PBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde. The fixed cells were washed with water and incubated in 60% isopropanol for 5 min. After incubation, the isopropanol was removed and replaced with 0.3% Oil-red-O working solution for 5 min. The Oil Red O working solution was prepared by diluting a stock solution (0.5% in isopropanol; Sigma, O1391) with water (3:2).

**Measurement of total intracellular NAD⁺ levels**

3T3-L1 cells were cultured and differentiated as described above. For NAD⁺ measurements, the cells were harvested with 0.5 M perchloric acid and neutralized with and equal volume of 0.55 M of K₂CO₃. The samples were then centrifuged and the supernatants were collected for metabolite measurement. Total intracellular NAD⁺ or NADH levels were measured using a NAD⁺/NADH colorimetric assay kit (Cyclex, CY-1253) following the manufacturer’s instructions. For the NAD⁺ measurement, “relative level” was determined in comparison to a value from the first biological replicate of the control sample. A value from the first biological replicate of the control sample was set to 1 and all the rest of the values were plotted relative to that.

**Determination of nuclear and cytoplasmic NAD⁺ levels using cpVenus-based sensors**

3T3-L1 cells expressing nuclear or cytoplasmic NAD⁺ sensors and their corresponding cpVenus-only controls were used to measure changes in subcellular NAD⁺ levels. The cells were treated with 1 μg/ml Dox to induce expression of the sensors for 48 hours prior to the experiment. The NAD⁺ sensor experiments were performed as described previously (20), with details provided below.

**Purification of sensor proteins**

Expression vectors for the sensors and their corresponding cpVenus-only controls (described above) were transfected into 293T cells using lipofectamine 3000 (Thermo Fisher, L3000015) following the manufacturer’s protocol. After 48 hours, the cells were washed twice with ice-cold PBS and collected via centrifugation. Whole cell extracts were prepared from the cells expressing the cytoplasmic sensor or the corresponding cytoplasmic control by resuspending the cells in Lysis Buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% IGEPAL CA-630, 1 mM DTT, and 1x complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche)), incubating them for 30 min at 4°C, and then clarifying the lysate by centrifugation. Extracts were prepared from the cells expressing the nuclear sensor or the corresponding nuclear control, by resuspending the cells in Isotonic Buffer (30 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 2 mM MgCl₂, 3 mM CaCl₂, 0.3 M sucrose, 1 mM DTT, and 1x complete protease inhibitor cocktail), incubating them on ice for 15 min, and lysing them by the addition of 0.6% IGEPAL CA-630 detergent with gentle vortexing. The nuclei from the lysed cells were collected by centrifugation, resuspended in Nuclear Extraction Buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% IGEPAL CA-630, 1 mM DTT, and 1x complete protease inhibitor cocktail), and incubated for 30 min at 4°C. The resulting nuclear extract was clarified by centrifugation. Both the whole cell and nuclear extracts were incubated with anti-FLAG M2 affinity gel (Sigma, A2220) at 4°C for 4 hours to allow binding of the FLAG-tagged sensors and controls. The resin was washed five times in either the Lysis Buffer or the Nuclear Extraction Buffer, respectively, for 10 min at 4°C with constant mixing. The proteins were eluted with 500 μg/ml of 3x FLAG peptide (Sigma, F4799) made in wash buffer. The eluates were dialyzed in Dialysis Buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM DTT, 100 μM PMSE, and 20% glycerol). The concentrations of the protein solutions were measured using Bradford assays.

The purity of the sensor and control proteins was confirmed by SDS-PAGE with subsequent silver staining using a Pierce silver staining kit (Thermo Fisher, 24600) followed by the manufacturer’s protocol.

**Measurement of in vitro fluorescence changes using spectroscopy**

Purified sensor and control proteins (250 nM) were incubated with the indicated amount of NAD⁺ in total reaction volume of 75 μl. The samples were incubated at RT for 15 min and the fluorescence was measured using a Spark 20M plate reader (Tecan). Excitation and emission spectra were 488 nm and 530 nm, respectively, with slit widths of 5 nm band pass for the excitation and 10 nm band pass for the emission. A standard curve was generated using the ratio of fluorescence values (sensor fluorescence/cpVenus fluorescence), which were fitted to a sigmoidal regression model using GraphPad Prism 7.

**Imaging**

3T3-L1 cells were seeded on chambered cover slips (Thermo Fisher, I55411) and cultured in FluoroBrite media (Thermo Fisher, A1896701) supplemented with 10% FBS (TET tested; Atlanta Biologicals, SI03050) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. Images were acquired using an inverted Zeiss LSM 780 confocal microscope affixed with a 37°C, 5% CO2 incubator. To measure NAD⁺-dependent fluorescence changes, both the sensor and its corresponding cpVenus-only control were imaged with excitation at 488 nm and emission at 525 nm. The signal levels from the sensors and the controls were measured with excitation at 405 nm and emission at 525 nm.

**Image analysis**

We used Image J software to subtract background, set thresholds, select the regions of interest (ROIs), and quantify fluorescence intensity. Ratiometric analyses (488/405 nm) of the sensor versus control were used to normalize sensor expression levels and to analyze the changes in subcellular NAD⁺ levels. To generate a pixel-by-pixel ratiometric images, we used a custom MATLAB program (available by request from W.L.K.). Average ratiometric values for the undifferentiated 3T3-L1 cells were defined as 1, and the rest of the data were normalized accordingly.

**Flow cytometry**

3T3-L1 cells were cultured and differentiated as described above. For flow cytometry analysis, the cells were trypsinized and triturated with FluoroBrite media containing 10% FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. The data were collected on a BD Biosciences LSR II flow cytometer. The cells were gated using forward scatter (FSC) and side scatter (SSC) for the live cells and then further gated on both SSC and FSC width to ensure that individual cells were analyzed. FITC (excitation
488 nm, emission 530/30 nm) and BV510 (excitation 485 nm, emission 525/50 nm) were used for the sensor and control fluorescence. For the nuclear control, cytoplasmic sensor, and cytoplasmic control, we analyzed 1 × 10^6 cells for the nuclear sensor, and 5 × 10^4 cells for the cytoplasmic sensor. The samples were then permeabilized with 0.001% digitonin and suspended with FluoroBrite media containing 10% FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. The cells were then fixed with 10% formaldehyde and suspended with FluoroBrite media containing 1% penicillin/streptomycin. The nuclear sensor, we analyzed 5 × 10^4 cells due to lower expression levels of the sensor. 3T3-L1 cells without fluorescent protein were used as a negative control to set a threshold for the analysis. The data were analyzed with FlowJo software and sensor/control ratiometric analyses were performed using a derived function on the software. Cells with high levels of sensor or control signals (about 5 × 10^3 cells per condition in each biological replicate) were subjected to ratiometric analysis (488/405 nm) to obtain the values for each cell. Geometric mean fluorescence intensity of the ratiometric values relative to 10^3 cells without fluorescent protein were used as a control. An average of 32 replicates from 11 independent experiments were interpolated to obtain values for x. To measure the changes in NAD^+ levels under different experimental conditions, the fluorescence ratio was measured using flow cytometry, as described above, and normalized to the control conditions (e.g., undifferentiated 3T3-L1). The NAD^+ concentrations were then determined from the standard curve using ratiometric values in comparison to the values from undifferentiated 3T3-L1. For the estimation of cytoplasmic NAD^+ levels at 8 hours postdifferentiation upon Nmnat2 knockdown, the fluorescence ratio was measured using flow cytometry as described above, and the relative NAD^+ level compared to the control knockdown was determined. The estimated NAD^+ concentration was calculated based on the assumption that the cytoplasmic NAD^+ concentration at 8 hours postdifferentiation in control knockdown cells were similar to the measured cytoplasmic NAD^+ levels in 3T3-L1 cells at 8 hours of differentiation.

### Analysis of metabolic flux

3T3-L1 cells were grown to confluence, and then grown for another 2 days under control conditions. Induction of adipogenesis was achieved as described above until indicated time points. To quantify different mass isotopomers of intracellular citrate by GC/MS (54), the cells were washed with PBS and incubated in medium containing an isotopically enriched nutrient (i.e., D[U-13C] glucose and unlabeled glutamine for measuring glucose flux) for 1 min or 5 min. Labeled cells were then rinsed with ice-cold 0.9% saline and lysed with a freeze-thaw cycle in cold 50% methanol/50% water. The lysates were centrifuged to remove precipitated proteins and a standard (50 nmol of sodium 2-oxobutyrate) was added. The samples were then evaporated and derivatized using tertbutyldimethylsilyl (TBDMS, Sigma) (55). One microliter of the derivatized sample was injected into an Agilent 6970 gas chromatograph equipped with a fused silica capillary GC column and networked to an Agilent 5973 mass selective detector. Retention times of citrate were validated using pure standards. The abundance of the citrate ions was monitored at m/z 459, pyruvate at m/z 174-177, and lactate at m/z 261-264. The measured distribution of mass isotopomers was corrected for the natural abundance of 13C. M+2 indicates the percent enrichment of citrate, two carbons of which were 13C-labeled, providing a measure of glucose flux through the TCA cycle.

### Analysis of lactate secretion

3T3-L1 cells were cultured in 6-well plates as described above, siRNAs were transfected 48 hours prior to the experiments and the cells were differentiated as described above for the indicated times. The media was collected and the assay was performed using a glycolysis cell-based assay kit (CytoMx, Chemical, 600450) following the manufacturer’s instructions.

#### NMNAT2 expression in cancer cells

The expression profiles of NMNAT2 in different cancer cells was determined based on TPM values from the Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (CCLE). CCLE data was downloaded from the web-based omics platform OASIS (http://www.oasis-genomics.org/).

### Cell proliferation assays

SH-S157 cells expressing Dox-inducible shRNAs targeting luciferase or NMNAT2 were plated at a density of 1 × 10^6 cells per well in 6-well plates and were induced using 1 μg/ml doxycycline added to the medium (Day 0). After 24 hours, the medium was removed and replaced with fresh medium containing 1 μg/ml of doxycycline. The cells were then grown until the indicated time points, with replacement of the medium every 48 hours. The cells were then fixed with 10% formaldehyde and stained with 0.1% crystal violet in 75 mM phosphoric acid. After washing with a copious amount of water, the crystal violet was extracted from the cells using 10% acetic acid and measured as absorbance at 562 nm.
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Integrating glucose and fat

Consuming too much glucose makes you fat, but it is unclear how this conversion is mediated by the body. Glycolysis links to gene transcription via the essential coenzyme nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide in its oxidized state (NAD⁺). Ryu et al. found that compartmentalized NAD⁺ synthesis and consumption integrate glucose metabolism and adipogenic (fat-promoting) transcription during adipocyte differentiation (see the Perspective by Trefely and Wellen). Competition between the NAD⁺ precursors—nuclear NMNAT-1 and cytosolic NMNAT-2—for their common substrate, nicotinamide mononucleotide, regulates the balance between nuclear NAD⁺ synthesis for adipogenic gene regulation and cytosolic NAD⁺ synthesis used in metabolism.
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